(1)

6001

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. NO.6263 /2005

PETITIONER :

1. Shalik Ram Pandey S/o Ramsharan Pandey aged about 42 years , resident of Villae Navagaon, P.O. Kouriya, Bilaspur (C.G.)
2. Gend Lal Ahirwar S/o Chhedi Lal Ahirwar, aged about 43 years resident of Tar Bahar, Bilaspur Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Lava Kumar Pandey S/o Makhan Lal Pandey aged about 42 years resident of Purna Sarkanda, Shiv Ghat Bilaspur , Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.).
4. Sanat Kumar Kashyap S/o Kapil Nath Kashyap, aged about 41 years , resident of Village Konargarh, Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.) .
5. Lakhan Lal Sahu S/o Lalji Sahu, aged about 43 years, resident of Ganesh Nagar, Bilaspur , Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. Shatrughan Lal Sahu S/o Shri Kaliram Sahu aged about 39 years R/o Bilha Bilaspur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1949/05
Presented by Shri Sameer Sahu
dated 29.04.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary General Administration Department. D.K.S. Building Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector Bilaspur , Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
29.4.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/S 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

WP 6263/05

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13-12-2005 Smt. Renu Kochar, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were appointed in Census Department as Compilers. She further submits that the services of the petitioners were retrenched. She also submits that in similar writ petition bearing W.P.No.1830/2003 (***Ashok Kumar Surya vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Another***) this Court vide order dated 26-6-2003 gave some directions. A copy of the order dated 26-6-2003 is filed as Annexure-P/12. Smt. Kochar submits that the petitioners submitted representations before the respondent authorities, but the same have not been considered and decided till date. Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioners may be directed to make a detailed representation afresh before the concerned competent authorities and if such representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make a detailed representation afresh raising their grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of one month from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.Nos.10355/05, 10356/05 & 10357/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	
Gowri		

[पीछे देखिये